

**Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP***Intellectual Property Law*

550 West C Street  
Suite 1200  
San Diego CA 92101  
Tel 619-235-8550  
Fax 619-235-0176  
www.kmob.com

**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET****CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL NOT FOLLOW |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL | <input type="checkbox"/> HAND DELIVERY              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COURIER               | <input type="checkbox"/> WITH ENCLOSURES            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL                | <input type="checkbox"/> WITHOUT ENCLOSURES         |

**Confidentiality Notice:**

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO: Ex Charles Appiah  
FIRM: United States Patent and Trademark Office  
FACSIMILE NO.: 703.746.5783  
OUR REF.: RIEB3.001APC  
YOUR REF.: 09/380,412  
FROM: John M. Carson  
OPERATOR: Kimberly E. Lengyel  
DATE: June 11, 2004

NO. OF PAGES: 6 (incl. cover sheet)  
TIME:

**IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY**  
OPERATOR PHONE No.: (619) 235-8550 FACSIMILE No.: (619) 235-0176

**MESSAGE:****INFORMAL COMMUNICATION – DO NOT ENTER**

Dear Examiner Appiah,

As discussed, attached is a copy of the Response to Final Office Action which we previously filed in Application No. 09/380,412.

Thank you.

Orange County  
949-760-0000

San Francisco  
415-954-4114

Los Angeles  
310-551-3450

Riverside  
909-781-9231

San Luis Obispo  
805-547-5580

## PATENT

Case Docket No. RIEB3.001APC

Date: June 4, 2004

Page 1

In re application of: Ljungstroem, et al.

App. No. : 09/380,412

Filed : January 19, 2000

For : CORDLESS COMMUNICATION  
SYSTEM COMPATIBLE WITH  
A PUBLIC MOBILE  
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Examiner : Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit : 2686

## CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all  
marked attachments are being transmitted via  
facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (703)  
872-9306 on the date shown below:

June 4, 2004

(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

## COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith please find the following in connection with the above-identified  
application.

- (X) Response to Final Office Action in 4 pages.  
(X) Please use Customer No. 20,995 for the correspondence address.

John M. Carson  
Registration No. 34,303  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(619) 235-8550

S:\DOCS\JMC\JMC-7587.DOC 060404

RIEB3.001APC

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Ljungstroem, et al  
Appl. No. : 09/380,412  
Filed : January 19, 2000  
For : CORDLESS COMMUNICATION  
SYSTEM COMPATIBLE WITH A  
PUBLIC MOBILE  
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM  
Examiner : Naghmeh Mehrpour  
Group Art Unit : 2686

## CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all  
marked attachments are being transmitted via  
facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (703)  
872-9306 on the date shown below:

June 4, 2004

(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 16, 2004, Applicant submits the following  
remarks for consideration in connection with the above-identified patent application.

Summary of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

Appl. No. : 09/380,412  
Filed : January 19, 2000

### SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

#### Identification of Claims Discussed

No particular claims were discussed.

#### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0046850 to Blanke, et al., published November 19, 2001.

#### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicant presented arguments directed to the finality of the Office Action mailed April 16, 2004 as being improper in response to the Request for Continued Examination and Amendment filed by Applicant on February 3, 2004. Applicant also presented arguments in regard to the unavailability of Blanke as prior art for the present application in view of the acknowledged priority claim to a foreign application filed February 28, 1997 for the present application and the later filing date of September 22, 1993 for the published Blanke application. Applicant thus requested issuance of a new, non-final Office Action based on available prior art.

#### Results of Interview

Examiners Mehrpour and Appiah indicated that they did not have the file for the present application in their possession for reference. Despite the fact that this application has had six Office Actions and five replies, it was insisted that Applicant's arguments would only be considered upon formal submission in a response to the Office Action dated April 16, 2004.

Verify Melody's application  
after final response when  
file is submitted to examiner